

# FROBENIUS PARTITIONS AND THE COMBINATORICS OF RAMANUJAN'S ${}_1\psi_1$ SUMMATION

SYLVIE CORTEEL AND JEREMY LOVEJOY

ABSTRACT. We examine the combinatorial significance of Ramanujan's famous summation. In particular, we prove bijectively a partition theoretic identity which implies the summation formula.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

One of the more remarkable identities in the theory of basic hypergeometric series is Ramanujan's product formula for the summation of the  ${}_1\psi_1$  bilateral series. Namely, if  $|q| < 1$  and  $|\frac{b}{a}| < |z| < 1$  then

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(a; q)_n}{(b; q)_n} z^n = \frac{(b/a; q)_{\infty} (q; q)_{\infty} (q/az; q)_{\infty} (az; q)_{\infty}}{(b; q)_{\infty} (b/az; q)_{\infty} (q/a; q)_{\infty} (z; q)_{\infty}} \quad (1)$$

where

$$(a; q)_{\infty} := \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - aq^n)$$

and

$$(a; q)_n = \frac{(a; q)_{\infty}}{(aq^n; q)_{\infty}}.$$

The  ${}_1\psi_1$  summation formula is a multi-parameter generalization of Jacobi's famous triple product identity:

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{n(n+1)/2} z^n = (q; q)_{\infty} (-z^{-1}; q)_{\infty} (-zq; q)_{\infty} \quad (2)$$

which can be obtained from (1) by replacing  $z$  with  $-zq/a$  and letting  $b \rightarrow 0$ ,  $a \rightarrow \infty$ . The summation of the  ${}_1\psi_1$  has been proven in several ways [1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10], typically by using some clever applications of other hypergeometric series identities. Most notable perhaps is Ismail's observation [8] that (1) is a corollary of the  $q$ -binomial theorem. Here we shall demonstrate how the  ${}_1\psi_1$  summation formula is equivalent to a *combinatorial* statement about certain types of partitions.

## 2. PARTITIONS

A partition  $\pi$  of  $n := \sigma(\pi)$  is a nonincreasing sequence of natural numbers whose sum is  $n$ . We denote by  $\mu(\pi)$  the number of parts in the partition  $\pi$ . Let  $p_{A,B}(n)$  denote the number of generalized Frobenius partitions of  $n$ , that is, the number of two - rowed arrays

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_m \\ b_1 & b_2 & \dots & b_m \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\sum a_i$  is a partition of type  $A$ ,  $\sum b_i$  is a partition of type  $B$ , and  $n = \sum (a_i + b_i + 1)$ . If  $A$  denotes "distinct nonnegative parts," then Frobenius [6] observed that

$$p_{A,A}(n) = p(n) \tag{3}$$

where  $p(n)$  is the number of ordinary partitions of  $n$ . In [4], Andrews discusses how this combinatorial identity is the essence of Jacobi's triple product formula. It turns out that (3) is just a specialization of a more general combinatorial identity which is essentially the  ${}_1\psi_1$  summation.

Let  $C$  denote "distinct nonnegative parts and unrestricted nonnegative overlined parts, with  $n > \bar{n}$ ." Let  $f_{r,s}(n)$  be the number of generalized Frobenius partitions counted by  $p_{C,C}(n)$  where there are  $r$  overlined parts in the top row and  $s$  overlined parts in the bottom row.

Now let  $g_{r,s}(n)$  be the number of 4 - tuples of partitions  $(\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3, \pi_4)$  where  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are ordinary partitions,  $\pi_3$  and  $\pi_4$  are partitions into distinct parts,  $\sigma(\pi_1) + \sigma(\pi_2) + \sigma(\pi_3) + \sigma(\pi_4) = n$ ,  $r = \mu(\pi_2) + \mu(\pi_3)$ , and  $s = \mu(\pi_2) + \mu(\pi_4)$ . Notice that  $f_{0,0}(n) = p_{A,A}(n)$  and  $g_{0,0}(n) = p(n)$ .

**Theorem 1.** *For all nonnegative integers  $n, r, s$ ,  $f_{r,s}(n) = g_{r,s}(n)$*

*Proof:* Given a Frobenius partition

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_m \\ b_1 & b_2 & \dots & b_m \end{pmatrix}$$

counted by  $f_{r,s}(n)$ , transform  $\alpha$  into another two-rowed array

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & c_2 & \dots & c_p \\ d_1 & d_2 & \dots & d_p \end{pmatrix}$$

as follows:

- (i) Let  $c_1, \dots, c_p$  be  $a_1, \dots, a_m$  except  $k$  is inserted if  $0 \leq k \leq a_1$  but  $k$  does not occur in row 1 of  $\alpha$ .
- (ii) Let  $d_1, \dots, d_p$  be the  $-k$ 's from (i) written in increasing order, followed by the non-overlined parts of row 2 of  $\alpha$ , incremented by 1 and written in increasing order, followed by the overlined parts from row 2 of  $\alpha$ , incremented by 1 and written in non-increasing order.

For example, if

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{5} & 3 & \bar{3} & \bar{3} & \bar{1} & 0 \\ 3 & \bar{3} & \bar{0} & \bar{0} & \bar{0} & \bar{0} \end{pmatrix}$$

then

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{5} & 4 & 3 & \bar{3} & \bar{3} & 2 & 1 & \bar{1} & 0 \\ -4 & -2 & -1 & 4 & \bar{4} & \bar{1} & \bar{1} & \bar{1} & \bar{1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now map  $\beta$  to a 4 - tuple  $\pi = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3, \pi_4)$  by adding, for all  $i$ , a part of size  $d_i + c_i$  to

$$\begin{cases} \pi_1 & \text{if neither } c_i \text{ nor } d_i \text{ is overlined} \\ \pi_2 & \text{if } c_i \text{ and } d_i \text{ are overlined} \\ \pi_3 & \text{if } c_i \text{ but not } d_i \text{ is overlined} \\ \pi_4 & \text{if } d_i \text{ but not } c_i \text{ is overlined} \end{cases}$$

In our example, we obtain

$$\pi = \begin{cases} \pi_1 : & (2, 2) \\ \pi_2 : & (7, 2) \\ \pi_3 : & (1, 7) \\ \pi_4 : & (3, 2, 1) \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are ordinary partitions and that  $\pi_3$  and  $\pi_4$  are partitions into distinct parts (where  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_3$  are written in reverse order). By construction,  $r = \mu(\pi_2) + \mu(\pi_3)$ ,  $s = \mu(\pi_2) + \mu(\pi_4)$  and  $\sum (c_i + d_i) = n$ . In other words, the image of  $\alpha$  is a 4 - tuple counted by  $g_{r,s}(n)$ .

This mapping is uniquely reversible. Given  $\pi$ , a 4-tuple of partitions counted by  $g_{r,s}(n)$ , first write  $\pi_2$  and  $\pi_4$  in reverse order. We use the notation  $\pi_{i,1}$  for the first part of  $\pi_i$  and  $\pi \setminus \pi_{i,1}$  for the 4-tuple of partitions  $\pi$  without the first part of  $\pi_i$ . We shall denote the empty partition by  $\epsilon$ . With the following algorithm we reconstruct the two-rowed array  $\beta$  from  $\pi$ .

$a \leftarrow 0$ $\beta \leftarrow \epsilon$ While $\pi_2 \neq \epsilon$ or $\pi_4 \neq \epsilon$ do If $\pi_4 = \epsilon$ or $\pi_{2,1} \leq \pi_{4,1}$ 1. $\beta \leftarrow \beta \cup \left( \frac{\bar{a}}{\pi_{2,1} - a} \right)$ . 2. $\pi \leftarrow \pi \setminus \pi_{2,1}$ else 1. $\beta \leftarrow \beta \cup \left( \frac{a}{\pi_{4,1} - a} \right)$ . 2. $\pi_4 \leftarrow \pi \setminus \pi_{4,1}$ 3. $a \leftarrow a + 1$	While $\pi_1 \neq \epsilon$ or $\pi_3 \neq \epsilon$ If $\pi_1 = \epsilon$ or $\pi_{1,1} < \pi_{3,1}$ 1. $\beta \leftarrow \beta \cup \left( \frac{\bar{a}}{\pi_{3,1} - a} \right)$ . 2. $\pi_3 \leftarrow \pi \setminus \pi_{3,1}$ else 1. $\beta \leftarrow \beta \cup \left( \frac{a}{\pi_{1,1} - a} \right)$ . 3. $\pi_1 \leftarrow \pi \setminus \pi_{1,1}$ 3. $a \leftarrow a + 1$
--	---

It is straightforward to recover the Frobenius partition  $\alpha$  from  $\beta$ .

□

## 3. THE SUMMATION FORMULA

We first make the substitutions  $z \rightarrow -zqa^{-1}$ ,  $b \rightarrow -bq$ , and  $a \rightarrow -a^{-1}$  to obtain the equivalent form

$$\frac{(-aq; q)_\infty (-bq; q)_\infty}{(q; q)_\infty (abq; q)_\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(-a^{-1}; q)_n (zqa)^n}{(-bq; q)_n} = \frac{(-zq; q)_\infty (-z^{-1}; q)_\infty}{(bz^{-1}; q)_\infty (azq; q)_\infty} \quad (4)$$

where  $|b| < |z| < |\frac{1}{aq}|$  and  $|q| < 1$ . Notice that the coefficient of  $z^0$  on the left hand side of (4) is

$$\sum_{n,r,s \geq 0} g_{r,s}(n) a^r b^s q^n \quad (5)$$

while the coefficient of  $z^0$  on the right hand side is

$$\sum_{n,r,s \geq 0} f_{r,s}(n) a^r b^s q^n \quad (6)$$

so that the truth of (4) implies Theorem 1. In fact, it is also true that Theorem 1 implies the  ${}_1\psi_1$  summation formula.

*Proof of  ${}_1\psi_1$ :* If  $\phi(z)$  denotes the right hand side of (4), then

$$\phi(zq) = \frac{(-zq^2; q)_\infty (-z^{-1}q^{-1}; q)_\infty}{(bz^{-1}q^{-1}; q)_\infty (azq^2; q)_\infty} \quad (7)$$

$$= \frac{(1 + z^{-1}q^{-1})(1 - azq)(-zq; q)_\infty (-z^{-1}; q)_\infty}{(1 + zq)(1 + bz^{-1}q^{-1})(bz^{-1}; q)_\infty (azq; q)_\infty} \quad (8)$$

$$= \frac{(1 - azq)}{(zq - b)} \phi(z) \quad (9)$$

Since  $\phi(z)$  is an analytic function of  $z$  in the annulus  $|b| < |z| < |\frac{1}{aq}|$ , it has a Laurent series

$$\phi(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} A_n(a, b, q) z^n$$

First we assume that  $|ab| < 1$  so that for all  $z$  with  $|\frac{b}{q}| < |z| < |\frac{1}{aq}|$ , applying (9) to this series yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} A_n(a, b, q) z^{n+1} q^{n+1} - \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} A_n(a, b, q) bz^n q^n \\ &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} A_n(a, b, q) z^n - \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} A_n(a, b, q) aqz^{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} A_{n-1}(a, b, q)(aq + q^n)z^n = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} A_n(a, b, q)(1 + bq^n)z^n$$

and hence for all integers  $n$  we have that

$$A_n(a, b, q) = aq \left( \frac{1 + a^{-1}q^{n-1}}{1 + bq^n} \right) A_{n-1}$$

If  $n > 0$ , then this implies that

$$A_n = \frac{a^n q^n (-a^{-1}; q)_n}{(-bq; q)_n} A_0$$

and if  $n < 0$ , say  $n = -m$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_{-m} &= \frac{a^{-m} q^{-m} (-bq^{-m+1}; q)_m}{(-a^{-1}q^{-m}; q)_m} A_0 \\ &= \frac{a^{-m} q^{-m} (-a^{-1}; q)_{-m}}{(-bq; q)_{-m}} A_0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\phi(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(-a^{-1}; q)_n (zqa)^n}{(-bq; q)_n} A_0$$

But it follows from Theorem 1 and equations (4)-(6) that

$$A_0 = \frac{(-aq; q)_{\infty} (-bq; q)_{\infty}}{(q; q)_{\infty} (abq; q)_{\infty}}$$

By analytic continuation we can easily extend to  $|b| < |z| < |\frac{1}{aq}|$ . □

#### 4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

It should be mentioned that for any integer  $n$ , it is indeed possible to bijectively prove the equality between coefficients of  $z^n$  on both sides of (4). The arguments are just more complicated variations on Theorem 1, which is the essential identity. We also wish to emphasize that a more careful consideration of the bijection presented in Theorem 1 yields an elegant proof of the  $q$ -analogue of a summation of Gauss,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a; q)_n (b; q)_n (c/ab)^n}{(q; q)_n (c; q)_n} = \frac{(c/a; q)_{\infty} (c/b; q)_{\infty}}{(c; q)_{\infty} (c/ab; q)_{\infty}}.$$

In fact, Frobenius partitions can be employed to give straightforward combinatorial proofs of several identities in the theory of basic hypergeometric series. This shall be demonstrated in a forthcoming paper.

## REFERENCES

- [1] C. Adiga, B.C. Berndt, S. Bhargava, and G.N. Watson, Chapter 16 of Ramanujan's second notebook: Theta-functions and  $q$ -series, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **315** (1985).
- [2] G.E. Andrews, On Ramanujan's summation of  ${}_1\psi_1(a; b; z)$ , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **22** (1969), 552-553.
- [3] G.E. Andrews, On a transformation of bilateral series with applications, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **25** (1970), 554-558.
- [4] G.E. Andrews, Generalized Frobenius Partitions, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **301** (1984).
- [5] G.E. Andrews and R. Askey, A simple proof of Ramanujan's summation of the  ${}_1\psi_1$ , *Aequationes Mathematicae* **18** (1978), 333-337.
- [6] G. Frobenius, Über die Charaktere der symmetrischen Gruppe, *Sitzber. Pruess. Akad. Berlin*, 1900, 516-534.
- [7] W. Hahn, Beiträge zur Theorie der Heineschen Reihen, *Math. Nach.* **2** (1949), 340-379.
- [8] M. Ismail, A simple proof of Ramanujan's  ${}_1\psi_1$  sum, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **63** (1977), 185-186.
- [9] M. Jackson, On Lerch's transcendent and the basic bilateral series  ${}_2\psi_2$ , *J. London Math. Soc.* **25** (1950), 189-196.
- [10] K.W.J. Kadell, A probabilistic proof of Ramanujan's  ${}_1\psi_1$  sum, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **18** (1987), 1539 - 1548.

LRI, BÂT 490, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-SUD, 91405 ORSAY, FRANCE  
*E-mail address:* Sylvie.Corteel@lri.fr

DEPT. OF MATH., UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN, MADISON, WI 53706  
*E-mail address:* lovejoy@math.wisc.edu